



Richland County Council
REGULAR SESSION
MINUTES
May 17, 2022 – 6:00 PM
Council Chambers
2020 Hampton Street, Columbia, SC 29204

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Overture Walker, Chair, Jesica Mackey, Vice-Chair, Bill Malinowski, Derrek Pugh, Yvonne McBride, Paul Livingston, Allison Terracio, Joe Walker, Gretchen Barron, Cheryl English and Chakisse Newton

OTHERS PRESENT: Kyle Holsclaw, Ashiya Myers, Randy Pruitt, Michael Byrd, Stacey Hamm, Dale Welch, Leonardo Brown, Tamar Black, Lori Thomas, Patrick Wright, Justin Landy, Aric Jensen, Abhi Deshpande, Anette Kirylo, Judy Carter, Dante Roberts, Dwight Hanna, Angela Weathersby, Casey White, Melissa Hughey, Jennifer Wladischkin, Michael Maloney, Sandra Haynes and John Thompson

1. **CALL TO ORDER** – Chairman O. Walker called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00PM.
2. **INVOCATION** – The Invocation was led by the Pastor Doyle Roberts on behalf of the Honorable Cheryl English.
3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – The Pledge of Allegiance was led by the Honorable Cheryl English.
4. **PRESENTATION OF PROCLAMATIONS**
 - a. **A Proclamation Honoring “National Public Works Week” May 15-21, 2022 [O. WALKER]** – Ms. Mackey presented a proclamation to the Public Works’ Director and employees in honor of National Public Works Week.
 - b. **Resolution Honoring Richland County Emergency Medical Service Employees** – Ms. Mackey presented a resolution to the Emergency Medical Services Director and employees in honor of National Emergency Services Week.
 - c. **A Proclamation Recognizing the 2022-2023 Junior League of Columbia President Rania Jamison** – Ms. Mackey presented a proclamation to Ms. Rania Jamison in honor of her becoming the first African-American President of the Junior League.
5. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
 - a. **Regular Session: May 3, 2022** – Mr. Malinowski stated on p. 10 of the agenda, under Adoption of the Agenda, Ms. Barron is listed as voting in favor and against the item; therefore the record needs to be corrected to accurately reflect her vote. Also, it came to his attention that during the meeting there were some audio problems. Mr. Malinowski requested to read into the record his comments on Item 15(c): “An Ordinance Amending Ordinance # 05-53.5-21 Amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances, so as to adopt the Richland County Land Development Code Rewrite; and to replace Chapter 26, Land Development amending the effective dates of its provision and clarification on interim procedures”.

Regular Session
May 17, 2022

Mr. Malinowski statement:

“What I would like to do on this one is make a friendly amendment that I discussed with Mr. Jensen before the meeting, and some of my colleagues as well. At every place where it refers to zone map preparation and process that we add the words ‘and text’. So it would be ‘zone map and text’. So everyone understands, we are not saying that we are going out and hiring a new consultant and doing everything all over ago. But, we are saying because the text actually dictates what happens to the map; therefore, we need to know...we need to let everyone know that what we are doing when amending this ordinance is actually doing the zone map and text.”

Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Mr. Malinowski, to approve the minutes as corrected.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

6. **ADOPTION OF AGENDA** – Ms. Newton moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to add the “Lower Richland Library Lease” for discussion during Executive Session.

Ms. Newton noted, for the public, there were questions at the May 3, 2002 Council meeting about when the information was submitted by the library. The information was submitted in early April for consideration.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if Mr. Wright, County Attorney, was prepared to discuss the matter.

Mr. Wright responded in the affirmative.

Mr. O. Walker made a friendly amendment to add Hospitality Tax Allocations for Districts 2, 5, and 7.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote was in favor of the amended agenda.

7. **REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEMS** – The County Attorney, Mr. Patrick Wright, stated the following items qualify for Executive Session:

- a. **Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center**
- b. **9019 Garners Ferry Road First Amendment to Lease – Richland County Public Library**

8. **CITIZENS' INPUT**

- a. **For Items on the Agenda Not Requiring a Public Hearing** – No one signed up to speak.

9. **CITIZENS' INPUT**

- a. **Must Pertain to Richland County Matters Not on the Agenda (Items for which a public hearing is required or a public hearing has been scheduled cannot be addressed at this time)**

- 1. Rev. Carey A. Grady, Reid Chapel AME Church, 704 Gabriel Street, Columbia, SC 29203 – Housing Trust Fund
- 2. Lady June Cole, 309 N. Trenholm Road, Columbia, SC 29206 – Vote 411 Project
- 3. Keller Barron, 7109 Still Hopes Drive, W. Columbia, SC 29169 – Vote 411 Project

10. **REPORT OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

- a. **Coronavirus Update** – Mr. Leonardo Brown, County Administrator, noted Richland County’s Community level

**Regular Session
May 17, 2022**

is still low and approximately 58% of Richland County residents have been vaccinated. Approximately 54% of the citizens of South Carolina have received their vaccinations.

b. Other Updates

1. **Midlands Business Leadership Group (MBLG)** – Continue to work on the regional gateway project. They have raised \$1.2M toward construction. The County has committed to the upkeep of the gateways. MBLG is working with other jurisdictions to finalize their local commitments to the project.
2. **Planning Commission – Land Development Code** – The Planning Commission met on May 9, 2022 to restart the mapping efforts. There is a follow-up meeting scheduled for May 18th at 5:00 PM.

Mr. Malinowski noted, for the record, it is the mapping and text.

3. **Riverbanks Zoo** – The Riverbanks Park Commission recently voted to proceed with a resolution for an \$80M GO Bond. This item will be placed on the June 7th Council meeting.
4. **Animal Care Facilities Agreement** – Mr. Brown noted the Animal Care Facilities Agreement will be expiring on July 31, 2022. The County will be entering into negotiations with the City of Columbia.

11. REPORT OF THE CLERK OF COUNCIL

- a. **FY23 Budget Public Hearing, May 19, 2022, 6:00 PM, Council Chambers** – Ms. Anette Kirylo, Clerk of Council, reminded Council about the upcoming budget public hearing on May 19th.
- b. **FY23 Council Member Budget Motions** – Ms. Kirylo noted Council members motions are due Monday, May 23rd by 9:00 AM.
- c. **Council District 7: Community Walk/Health Fair, June 4th, 8:00 AM, W. J. Keenan High School** – Ms. Kirylo noted Ms. Barron is hosting a Community Walk/Health Fair on Saturday, June 4th at W. J. Keenan High School.
- d. **Council District 2: Meet & Greet with HOA Presidents/Vice-Presidents, June 4th, 10:00 AM, Hampton-Preston Green House** – Ms. Kirylo noted Mr. Pugh will be hosting a Meet & Greet with HOA Presidents and/or Vice-Presidents on June 4th at the Hampton-Preston Green House.

POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE – Mr. Livingston thanked the Columbia-Richland Fire Department and the American Red Cross for their endeavor to put smoke alarms in homes in zip code 29203, which has the highest rate of calls and fires.

12. REPORT OF THE CHAIR – No report was given.

13. OPEN/CLOSE PUBLIC HEARINGS

- a. **An Ordinance Amending Ordinance #05-53.5-21 Amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances, so as to adopt the Richland County Land Development Code Rewrite; and to replace Chapter 26, Land Development amending the effective dates of its provision and clarification of interim procedures**

1. Pam Sulkenhouse, 1944 Marina Road, Irmo, SC 29063**
2. Kim Murphy, 154 Old Laurel Lane, Chapin, SC 29036
3. Lisa Borden, 116 Rideoutte Point Road, Irmo, SC 29063
4. Shari Ardis, 4601 Meadowood Road, Columbia, SC 29206
5. Walter Jones, 1005 Brown Road, Hopkins, SC 29061
6. Fred Ange, 516 S. Woodrow Street, Columbia, SC 29205

Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to re-open the public hearing to allow the citizen that signed up on the incorrect sheet to speak.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

7. Dena Crapps, 316 Nature Road, Blythewood, SC 29016**

**The petitions presented by Ms. Sulkenhouse and Ms. Crapps were entered into the record.

- b. **Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Arrowrock IV Pineview, LLC to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; and other related matters** – No one signed up to speak.
- c. **Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Laserform & Machine, Inc., a company previously identified as Project Laser, to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; and other related matters** – No one signed up to speak.

14. **THIRD READING ITEMS**

- a. **Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Arrowrock IV Pineview, LLC to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; and other related matters ([Ordinance No. 010-22HR](#))** – Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Ms. McBride, to approve this item.

Mr. Malinowski inquired why the normal backup documentation was not included for the Economic Development items.

Mr. Ruble responded, as far as he knows, all of the documents went to the Clerk's Office.

Ms. Kyrlo responded the documents were provided under separate cover to Council.

Mr. Malinowski stated he received the documentation, but inquired as to why the documentation was not provided to the public. He inquired if we were trying to hide something.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- b. **Authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee-in-lieu of ad valorem taxes agreement by and between Richland County, South Carolina and Laserform & Machine, Inc., a company previously identified as Project Laser, to provide for payment of a fee-in-lieu of taxes; and other related matters ([Ordinance No. 011-22HR](#))** – Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Mr. J. Walker, to approve this item.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

15. **SECOND READING ITEMS**

- a. **An Ordinance Amending Ordinance # 05-53.5-21 Amending the Richland County Code of Ordinances, so as to adopt the Richland County Land Development Code Rewrite; and to replace Chapter 26, Land Development amending the effective dates of its provision and clarification on interim procedures** –

Mr. Malinowski stated he made a motion at the May 3, 2022 Council meeting, which was unanimously passed that was supposed to add additional language to the ordinance. He noted the information in the agenda packet has not been updated.

Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Barron, to defer this to the June 7th Council meeting.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

16. **REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

- a. **Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) – Budget Adjustment to Increase Attorney Pay** – Mr. Malinowski stated the Administration and Finance Committee did not have a recommendation regarding this item.

Ms. Newton stated, as we are in the budget process now, and we are looking at salaries was that taken into consideration when presenting the numbers.

Mr. Brown responded, at the time of the request, the department said they did not realize they had the issue, and then they had the issue. The proposed cost of living adjustment (COLA) would affect these persons, but they are asking for assistance. The department is requesting the immediate ability to increase their budget to offer an increased salary.

Ms. Newton inquired, apart from the timing, does approving the COLA address these concerns or is the request in excess of the COLA. In addition, if this is approved, would the employees also receive a COLA?

Mr. Brown responded the request and the COLA are separate matters. The COLA would not fully address what the department is requesting.

Ms. Newton inquired why the request came to Council instead of going back to the committee.

Mr. Brown responded the committee forwarded to Council without a recommendation.

Ms. Barron stated it was brought to the committee's attention that this department had not submitted a budget for FY23. She inquired if the department as submitted a budget since the committee meeting, and how we will be able to give the employees a COLA.

Mr. Brown responded the COLA is based off the employees' salaries. He noted they did speak with the department. At the time, they believed the funds for operations were sufficient. Later on, they realized they were in a situation where they could not staff those positions. There have been communication with the department to look at some internal opportunities, and the department addressed those opportunities in the documentation provided for the agenda.

Ms. Barron stated she believes the work CASA does is extremely important and everyone needs livable wages. She expressed concern about the process, and noted all departments need to report a budget.

Mr. Brown agreed all departments should report a budget, and if they do not need any changes then there is nothing new to report.

Ms. Barron stated, initially the department did not need anything, then after doing a rescope the department determined they did need additional funds. She believes this could have been avoided with closer attention to the budget.

Ms. McBride inquired if it is out of the norm to have this type of request so close to the budget.

Mr. Brown responded, traditionally, we do not have budget amendments brought before Council.

Ms. McBride inquired about the impact on the agency if the request is denied.

Mr. Dante Roberts, CASA Executive Director, responded currently they have a Legal Services Manager and one staff attorney. The staff attorney will be going on maternity leave in a few weeks, which will leave the Legal Services Manager to manage approximately 700 cases. He noted there are currently 2 staff attorney positions they are accepting applications for.

Ms. McBride inquired if the new budget will address the department's concerns.

Mr. Brown responded the closest thing to addressing the matter would be Council approving the COLA. The positions would receive an increase, which would go toward addressing the department's concerns. The COLA would not fully address the requested amount of increase for the attorney positions.

Ms. McBride inquired if the positions are in the current budget.

Mr. Brown responded the positions are in the budget. The salary level the positions are being paid is the department's concern; therefore, they need an additional budget today, and going forward.

Ms. Mackey stated, for clarification, CASA has not submitted a budget for FY23 that would reflect the increase. She noted the body does not understand the impact of what the department's request. She expressed concern about the long-term impact. She inquired why there is a request for an increase for a current employee.

Mr. Roberts responded, if a pay increase is provided for the staff attorney positions, the staff attorneys would earn more than the current Legal Services Manager.

Ms. Mackey inquired how Council would be able to view the department's budget request for FY23.

Mr. Brown responded the department could speak to their budget at the Budget Public Hearing, or any future budget work sessions.

Ms. Mackey noted for the body to make an informed decision additional information needs to be provided by the department.

Mr. Malinowski inquired about the time span between the department indicating they did not need additional funding until they notified Administration they would need additional funding.

Mr. Brown responded the budget module closed in late February, and the department indicated their need in March.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if the department had attempted to hire part-time attorneys.

Mr. Roberts responded the department has only advertised for full-time position. He noted the department does receive grants for other part-time positions. Since the attorney do not work directly with the victims, but represent the Guardian ad Litem, there are not many grants for attorney positions.

Mr. Malinowski noted Charleston County employs part-time attorneys. He inquired why Richland County

could not also employ part-time attorneys.

Mr. Roberts stated the department does have one contract attorney to help with the current caseload.

Mr. Malinowski stated the department would realize a cost savings by hiring part-time attorneys, since the County would not have to provide benefits.

Mr. Brown responded a certain level of part-time employee does not receive benefits. It depends on the number of hours the employee works.

Mr. Malinowski noted every county in South Carolina receives funding from the State for this program, with the exception of Richland County. A motion has been submitted to determine what we need to do to receive State funding to promote the program.

Mr. Livingston moved, seconded by Ms. Newton, to allow CASA to submit an amended budget, with a clear justification for their need for additional funding.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

17. REPORT OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

- a. **An Ordinance Authorizing the acquisition of certain property located in Richland County; and other matters related thereto [FIRST READING]** – Mr. Livingston stated the committee recommended approval of this item.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if the purchase agreement is confidential.

Mr. Wright responded he only has what was online, so he is unable to respond.

Mr. Ruble responded, due to time-sensitivity, the matter was discussed in the Economic Development Committee. The documents were provided in the Economic Development Committee agenda packet.

Mr. Malinowski stated in the purchase agreement it indicates Richland County wants to purchase approximately so many acres, and the acreage of the property is subject to be reduced by virtue of a pending condemnation. Further in the document, it indicates the owners are going to receive a dollar amount under the condemnation, yet the County is paying full price.

Mr. Ruble responded the property will be either condemned, and we will back it out, or we will pay full price for the property. Either way the offer is based off the evaluation of the condemnation, so the price the County pays will be the same.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- b. **A Resolution (1) approving the assignment to GD Ballpark Development LLC of all the rights, interests, and obligations of Ballpark, LLC under that certain public infrastructure credit agreement between Ballpark, LLC and Richland County, South Carolina, (2) authorizing the County's execution and delivery of an assignment and assumption of public infrastructure credit agreement in connection with such assignment; and (3) authorizing other matters related thereto** – Mr. Livingston stated the committee recommended approval of this item.

Mr. Malinowski inquired if this a three reading item. He noted there was no resolution included in the agenda packet.

Mr. Ruble stated their attorneys provided the documents to the Clerk's Office.

In Favor: Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski

The vote was in favor.

18. REPORT OF THE RULES AND APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

a. NOTIFICATION OF VACANCIES

- 1. Accommodations Tax – Seven (7) Vacancies (TWO applicants must have a background in the lodging industry, THREE applicants must have a background in the hospitality industry, ONE applicant must have a cultural background and ONE applicant will fill an at-large seat)**
- 2. Airport Commission – One (1) Vacancy**
- 3. Board of Assessment Appeals – One (1) Vacancy**
- 4. Board of Zoning Appeals – Six (6) Vacancies**
- 5. Building Codes Board of Appeals – Nine (9) Vacancies (ONE applicant must be from the Architecture Industry, ONE from the Gas Industry, ONE from the Building Industry, ONE from the Contracting Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Plumbing Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Electrical Industry, ONE applicant must be from the Engineering Industry and TWO from the Fire Industry, as alternates)**
- 6. Business Service Center – Four (4) Vacancies (ONE applicant must be from the Business Industry, ONE applicant must be an Attorney and TWO applicants must be CPAs)**
- 7. Central Midlands County of Governments – One (1) Vacancy**
- 8. Community Relations Council – One (1) Vacancy**
- 9. Employee Grievance Committee – Two (2) Vacancies (MUST be a Richland County employee; 2 seats are alternates)**
- 10. Hospitality Tax – Two (2) Vacancies (ONE applicant must be from the Restaurant Industry)**
- 11. Internal Audit Committee – Two (2) Vacancies (applicant with CPA preferred)**
- 12. Lexington Richland Alcohol and Drug Abuse Council (LRADAC) – Two (2) Vacancies**
- 13. Music Festival – One (1) Vacancy**
- 14. Planning Commission – Three (3) Vacancies**
- 15. Richland Library – Six (6) Vacancies**
- 16. Richland Memorial Hospital Board of Trustees – Three (3) Vacancies**

17. Township Auditorium – Two (2) Vacancies

18. Transportation Penny Advisory Committee (TPAC) – Three (3) Vacancies

Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended advertising for the vacancies on boards, commissions, and committees.

Ms. Newton stated, it was her understanding, the Rules Committee had interviewed applicants for the Planning Commission and were ready to make a recommendation to Council. She inquired if there was 3 additional vacancies on the Planning Commission.

Ms. Barron responded in the affirmative.

Ms. Newton inquired at what point will applicants be able to apply and what is the deadline for applications?

Ms. Barron stated applications will be accepted immediately and the deadline is June 3, 2022.

Ms. Newton inquired, if someone has applied and was not appointed, are they still able to be considered when the new vacancies are advertised.

Ms. Barron responded the applications are held on file for a year, and are still under consideration.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

b. NOTIFICATION OF APPOINTMENTS

- 1. Employee Grievance Committee – Two (2) Vacancies (MUST be a Richland County employee; 1 seat is an alternate)** – Ms. Barron stated the committee recommended appointing Ms. Kimberly Toney. In addition, to appoint Mr. John Robert Dunn to the alternate position.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

c. ITEMS FOR ACTION

- 1. Review of Boards, Commissions and Committees Not Currently Active** – Ms. Barron stated the committee’s recommendation is to remove the following “not currently active” boards, commissions and committees: The Economic Development Commission, Richland County Youth Commission, Richland County Transportation Study Commission, Local Emergency Planning Committee, Disabilities and Special Needs Board, Midlands Commission on Homelessness, Bond Review Committee and Richland County Complete Streets Commission.

Ms. Terracio inquired about how long the committees have been inactive. Her recollection is these committees have not been listed when Council members were invited to make their committee selections at the beginning of each year.

Ms. Barron responded that is why this matter is before the body. These committees have been inactive for many years.

Mr. Livingston inquired if the Economic Development Commission is different than the Economic

Development Foundation.

Ms. McBride stated she understands wanting to delete the inactive committees, but some of them seem relevant and she does not know why they are inactive.

Ms. Barron noted she feels passionate about the Youth Commission. However, when we reevaluate things and look at what staff member is going to be over this. Is there a Councilmember associated with the Commission? We are finding we do not have all of the answers. As we are cleaning up, and these have been inactive, we are recommending to remove them. If there is a need in the future, a Councilmember can bring it back to the body. She noted there are non-profits in the community addressing some of the needs, which we can lend our support to.

Mr. Ruble requested holding the Economic Development Commission item in committee to allow him time to secure a definitive answer to Mr. Livingston's question.

Mr. Malinowski inquired how long the Economic Development Department has been in place.

Mr. Livingston responded he is not sure of the specific number of years, but it has been in existence for quite a while.

Mr. Malinowski noted during his 16 years on Council he had never heard of the Economic Development Commission, and is not aware of it ever meeting.

Mr. Ruble stated there is a separate group that oversees the Blythewood Industrial Park, and he wants to ensure this is not the commission referenced.

Mr. Livingston made a substitute motion, seconded by Mr. J. Walker, to move forward with the committee's recommendation, with the exception of the Economic Development Commission.

Mr. Wright noted he believes Lexington and Richland counties have a joint Disabilities and Special Needs Board. He requested to remove this board from the recommendation so he can do some further research.

Ms. Newton made a second substitute motion, seconded by Ms. McBride, to defer this item until the June 7th Council meeting.

In Favor: Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

Opposed: Malinowski and Barron

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Ms. Mackey moved, seconded by Ms. Barron, to reconsider Items 18(a) and (b).

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

19. **OTHER ITEMS**

- a. **FY22 – District 5 Hospitality Tax Allocations: Columbia City Ballet - \$5,000; Columbia Classical Ballet - \$5,000; 2022 Black Expo - \$2,000**
- b. **FY 22 District 7 Hospitality Tax Allocations: 2022 Black Expo - \$7,5000; Denim and Pearls - \$5,000**

c. **FY22 District 9 Hospitality Tax Allocations: 2022 Black Expo - \$5,000; Big Red Barn Retreat Fall Jam - \$5,000**

d. **FY22 – District 2 Hospitality Tax Allocations: 2022 Black Expo - \$4,000**

Ms. Newton moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to approve Items 19(a) – (d).

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

Opposed: J. Walker

The vote was in favor.

Ms. Mackey moved, seconded by Ms. Newton, to reconsider Items 19 (a) – (d).

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The motion for reconsideration failed.

e. **A Resolution to appoint and commission Eric Williams as a Code Enforcement Officer for the proper security, general welfare, and convenience of Richland County**

f. **A Resolution to appoint and commission Brian Wehrmeister as a Code Enforcement Officer for the proper security, general welfare, and convenience of Richland County**

g. **A Resolution to appoint and commission Andrew Weaver as a Code Enforcement Officer for the proper security, general welfare, and convenience of Richland County**

Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Ms. Newton, to approve Items 19(e) – (g).

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

20. **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Ms. Barron moved, seconded by Mr. Livingston, to go into Executive Session.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, Barron, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

*Council went into Executive Session at approximately 7:54 PM
and came out at approximately 8:14 PM*

Mr. J. Walker moved, seconded by Mr. Malinowski, to come out of Executive Session.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

Not Present: Barron

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- a. **Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center** – Mr. Malinowski moved, seconded by Mr. J. Walker, to authorize the County Administrator to use available funding to approve contract services for the Alvin S. Glenn Detention Center.

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

Not Present: Barron

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. O. Walker stated, for the record, Ms. Barron had to step away from the meeting.

Ms. Newton moved, seconded by Mr. Malinowski, to reconsider this item.

Opposed: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

Not Present: Barron

The motion for reconsideration failed.

21. **MOTION PERIOD**

- a. **I move that Richland County update its policies to allow the expenditure of CDBG and other federal funds for affordable housing anywhere in Richland County regardless of jurisdiction. (Current Richland County policies only allow expenditure of these funds in the unincorporated parts of the county.) [NEWTON]** – Ms. Newton stated the current policy limits where funds can be expended, which means residents in the incorporated areas that are in need of affordable housing would not have access to things the County is supporting with their funds. She is requesting to adjust the policy so staff can make funding decisions based on where the needs are opposed to us stipulating it can only be in a small part of the County.

This item was referred to the D&S Committee.

22. **ADJOURNMENT**

In Favor: Malinowski, Pugh, McBride, Livingston, Terracio, J. Walker, O. Walker, Mackey, English and Newton

The vote in favor was unanimous.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 8:16 PM.