

RICHLAND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

NOTES

The Richland County Transportation Committee and Technical Committee joined for a special called meeting on Tuesday, October 27, 2021 at 12:00 pm, virtually on Zoom.

Member(s) Present: James C. Brown-Chairman, Victor Atlas, Helen Bradley, Robert Hall, Mayor Mark Huguley, Darren Ledbetter, Brian Love, Linda Martin, Ray Rose, Stephen Staley, Michael Swindell, Allison Steele, Robert Sweatt & Kimberly Tony,

Also Present: Robert Anderson, Ali Eliadorni, Derek Riley, Kim Janha

Member(s) Absent: Gary Barton, Regina Corley, Edith Cunningham, Paul Holt, & Jane Plante

The meeting proceeded as followed:

The meeting began at 12:07 pm. Mr. Brown welcomed everyone and gave the invocation. A quorum was present. Minutes of August 31, 2021 and September 28, 2021, were approved at the motion of Brian Love/Michael Swindell, respectively; and, both seconded by Helen Bradley. Motion CARRIED

General Overview/Membership and It's Functions- Mr. Brown highlighted how the regular committee are appointed citizens and are the ones who vote on projects; and, the tech committee, while non-voting parties, are the voices that provide guiding information.

Follow-up: Project Request/Approval

Members of the CTC and CTTC were provided documentation on the below topics. Mr. Brown presented the information, which contributes to the discussion on funding availability. The discussions are summarized below.

1. Richland CTC Monthly C-Fund Statement-Recurring Funds Summary
Mr. Brown highlighted the major components of the monthly summaries of the C funds. This report is compiled by the SCDOT "C" program staff. The information provided lists current projects, current allocated and available funding. Brian Love asked if the \$7 mill of the money presented included the donor and proviso money? Michael Swindell asked if the balance on the statement was considered in the amount of available funding? The funding on the C statements includes all available funding and the balance indicates what's allocated and is counted in the funds currently available.
2. Funding Update:
Information was presented on the forecasted apportionment of funds for fiscal year 2021-22 for the SC "C" Program. The forecasted amount does not include the Donor Bonus Allocation.

RICHLAND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

3. Project Requests- On hold Under Abeyance (see attachment)

The attendees revisited the projects that are on hold due to an members of the committees provided updates.

- a. Town of Irmo, to construct a road, that connects Dutch Fork Road(US-176) with Salem Church road (S-176). Est. cost \$3,780.00-\$4,,092.00

This project will stay on hold until there is information on partnership (CTC, DOT & Town of Irmo). Mike Maloney, Director of Public Works, asked if DOT would take responsibility of maintenance? Darren Ledbetter, DOT, noted that the would converse with Irmo for an answer to the question.

- b. Richland County Government, Department of Transportation. North main Street (US-21) seamless revitalization project to improve portion of North Main (US-21) to include portion on Monticello Road (US-215). Est. cost \$992,733.75-\$312,740.00=\$679,993.75.)

Kim Tony, Construction Engineering Manager Richland County Government Transportation, informed the committee that this project is under construction. On Monticello Road there was concrete pavement found with a depth of 6-9 in. The estimate cost of \$679. 993.75 would be used for replace the surface course. Mr. Brown asked if there was City participation. Ms. Tony noted that the Tiger-Grant was awarded to the City and the County Transportation Department is managing grant, of which all funds have been expended.

- c. Richland County Public Works Department, resurfacing Summit PKWY. Area between Hard Scrabble Drive and Summit Ridge Drive Est. cost \$1,590,277.53
- d. Richland County Public Works Department, resurfacing Summit Ridge Drive area, between Summit PKWY, to the entrance to Lake Carolina subdivision. Est. cost \$614,473.37

Richland Public Works reported that the areas are severely deteriorated, where the PCI# rates 35 on a 0-100 scale. The distance for the projects are Summit Parkway (project c): 1.4mi & Summit Ridge (project d) 1 mile).

- e. Resurfacing Waverly Street, from Elmwood Avenue to Chestnut Street (S-1486) and Harper Street. Est. Cost.
- f. Resurfacing Lorick Avenue (S-205) from Farrow Road(555) HWY (277) Ramp to North Road Main Street (US-21) Est. Cost

Brian Love offered information on the above projects (e & f). He noted that the area on Elmwood is a residential area with a school in the area and is in very poor conditions. He noted that the Lorick Avenue project gets worst from 277 to Colonial

RICHLAND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Drive. Mr. Love noted that all the projects presented are very important, but at what point does it get too big for CTC.

- g. City of Columbia Public Works Division, resurfacing Wood Creek Farm Road. Est. Cost \$1,461,292.28.

This project was presented as a project that would be managed by a private company. Darren Ledbetter will look into the perspective of how a private/public partnership would be accepted by DOT. Robert Anderson will discuss with DOT.

Ray Rose asked about the total cost of this project. He asked if the City would participate in funding this project. The response was that there is no financial participation from the City.

Other Discussions:

Ms. Helen Bradley inquired about the consideration of maintenance and paving of dirt roads by the CTC. Mr. Brown asked Public Works to respond. Stephen Staley noted that any dirt road in the county will be maintained if it is public. However, there is no maintenance of for private dirt roads. He noted that the CTIP program is designed to help with dirt roads. Mr. Staley offered to talk with Ms. Bradley after the meeting.

Ms. Helen also noted that the sidewalk on Old Hopkins Road needs to be maintained. She also noted that they need to be more durable materials for potholes because the fillings don't last long.

Darren Ledbetter gave an update on Sparkleberry, indicating that it is awarded. He noted that there were drainage and utility challenges and a coordinator is needed.

Mr. Swindell asked about the widening of Broad River Road. Allison Steele noted that this is a Penny Project and there is an overrun and they are looking at descoping. The preliminary design is from Royal Town to Dutch Fork (2.5 miles).

Adjournment: Meeting adjourned without objection at 1:32 pm.

Next meeting date: December 14, 2021.

Notes recorded and prepared by: Kim Janha